Initial Algebras and Terminal Coalgebras

The Theory of Fixed Points of Functors

Errata: March 20, 2025

Jiří Adámek Stefan Milius Lawrence S. Moss

5 Finitary Iteration in Enriched Settings

Example 5.3.4. Scott's model of the untyped λ -calculus. The formulas t of the λ -calculus have the form

$$t ::= x \mid tt \mid \lambda x.t,$$

where x ranges through a countable set V of variables. The meaning of t_1t_2 is 'application': we evaluate t_1 (a function) in t_2 . The meaning of $\lambda x.t$ is ' λ -abstraction': this function takes a value a and responds with t[a/x], the term t in which x is substituted by a. A sound model of the λ -calculus can be obtained from every cpo D equipped with a split monomorphism

$$m: [D, D] \rightarrow D$$

with a splitting $e: D \to [D, D]$: given a valuation $v: V \to D$, the interpretation $[\![t]\!]_v$ of a λ -term t in D is defined as follows:

$$[x]_{v} = v(x), \qquad [t_{1}t_{2}]_{v} = e([t_{1}]_{v})([t_{2}]_{v}), \qquad [\lambda x.t]_{v} = m(h),$$

where $h\colon D\to D$ is the function that maps $d\in D$ to $[\![t]\!]_{v[x:=d]}$ and v[x:=d] is the modification of v that maps x to $d\colon v[x:=d](x)=d$ and v[x:=d](y)=v(y) for every $y\neq x$. It is not difficult to prove that h is continuous and that the above interpretation makes D a model of the λ -calculus (cf. [3, Thm. 3.2.12]).

Note that for a set D that is not a singleton, there is no injection $[D, D] \rightarrow D$, since [D, D] has larger cardinality than D.

Scott [4] decided to use the cartesian closed category of continuous lattices to obtain a model of the λ -calculus. But Smyth and Plotkin [5] made it clear that working in CPO_{\perp} is sufficient (and simpler). In fact, consider the locally continuous functor

$$F : \mathsf{CPO}^{\mathsf{op}}_{\perp} \times \mathsf{CPO}_{\perp} \to \mathsf{CPO}_{\perp} \quad \text{with} \quad F(X,Y) = [X,Y]_{\perp},$$

which adds a new least element to the cpo [X, Y]. If D is the initial algebra for F^E (Theorem 5.3.1), then

$$D \cong [D, D]_{\perp}$$

is a non-trivial model of λ -calculus (cf. Abramsky [1], Abramsky and Ong [2]). Indeed, we obtain a split monomorphism in CPO:

$$m = ([D, D] \hookrightarrow [D, D]_{\perp} \xrightarrow{\cong} D).$$

7 Terminal Coalgebras as Algebras, Initial Algebras as Coalgebras

Proof sketch for Prop. 7.3.5. In the first display the flat equation morphism is

$$e = Finr + id_A : FA + A \rightarrow F(FA + A) + A.$$

References

{Abramsky90}

- [2] Samson Abramsky and Luke Ong. Full abstraction in the lazy lambda calculus. *Inform. Comput.*, 105:159–267, 1993. {Barendregt90}
- [3] Hendrik P. Barendregt. Functional programming and lambda calculus. In Jan van Leeuwen, editor, *Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science*, *Volume B: Formal Models and Semantics*, pages 321–363. Elsevier and MIT Press, 1990. {Scott72}
- [4] Dana Scott. Continuous lattices. In F. William Lawvere, editor, *Toposes, Algebraic Geometry and Logic*, volume 274 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 97–136. Springer, 1972. Proceeding of the conference held at Dalhousie University, [Sm7thplotkin:82]
- [5] Michael B. Smyth and Gordon D. Plotkin. The category-theoretic solution of recursive domain equations. SIAM J. Comput., 11(4):761–783, 1982.