

COURSE: EIE6207 \_\_\_\_\_ YEAR: 6  
 SUBJECT: Theoretical Fundamental and Engineering Approaches for Intelligent Signal and Information Processing

	SUBJECT EXAMINER	INTERNAL MODERATOR / ASSESSOR	EXTERNAL EXAMINER	
	M.W. Mak			

1. (a) (i)

$$L = ax^2 + by^2$$

(3 marks, K)

(ii) The constrained minimisation is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x,y} & ax^2 + by^2 \\ \text{subject to} & x + y = P \end{array}$$

Lagrangian is given by

$$\ell(x, y, \lambda) = ax^2 + by^2 + \lambda(P - x - y)$$

So, taking derivative of  $\ell(x, y, \lambda)$  with respect to  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $\lambda$ , respectively, and set the results to 0, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial x} = 2ax - \lambda = 0 & \implies x^* = \frac{\lambda}{2a} \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial y} = 2ay - \lambda = 0 & \implies y^* = \frac{\lambda}{2b} \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \lambda} = P - x - y = 0 & \implies x^* + y^* = P \end{aligned}$$

Combining the 3 equations, we have

$$\lambda \left( \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{1}{2b} \right) = P \implies \lambda = \frac{2Pab}{a+b}$$

This gives the result

$$x^* = \frac{Pb}{a+b} \quad \text{and} \quad y^* = \frac{Pa}{a+b}$$

(20 marks, A)

(iii) The minimum power loss is given by

$$L^* = a(x^*)^2 + b(y^*)^2 = \frac{ab^2P^2}{(a+b)^2} + \frac{a^2bP^2}{(a+b)^2} = \frac{abP^2}{a+b} = \alpha P^2$$

where we have defined  $\alpha = \frac{ab}{a+b}$ . Denote  $P_{\text{old}}$  and  $P_{\text{new}}$  as the old and new values of power transmission, respectively. Also, denote  $L_{\text{old}}^*$  and  $L_{\text{new}}^*$  as the old and new minimum power loss, respectively. If the power transmission is

COURSE: EIE6207 \_\_\_\_\_ YEAR: 6  
 SUBJECT: Theoretical Fundamental and Engineering Approaches for Intelligent Signal and Information Processing

	SUBJECT EXAMINER	INTERNAL MODERATOR / ASSESSOR	EXTERNAL EXAMINER	
	M.W. Mak			

increased by 5%, we have

$$P_{\text{new}} = P_{\text{old}} + 0.05P_{\text{old}} = 1.05P_{\text{old}}.$$

Then, the percentage increase in power loss is

$$\frac{L_{\text{new}}^* - L_{\text{old}}^*}{L_{\text{old}}^*} = \frac{\alpha P_{\text{new}}^2 - \alpha P_{\text{old}}^2}{\alpha P_{\text{old}}^2} = \frac{1.05^2 P_{\text{old}}^2 - P_{\text{old}}^2}{P_{\text{old}}^2} = 0.1025,$$

which is equivalent to 10.25%.

(17 marks, E)

- (b) (i) The latent variables of GMMs are the indicator variables indicating which of the Gaussians in the GMM is responsible for generating a data sample.

(5 marks, K)

- (ii) If the data likelihood is directly maximized, there is no closed-form solutions for most of the probabilistic models. Without the closed-form solutions, stochastic gradient descent will be required. On the other hand, by introducing latent variables in the auxiliary function, close-form solutions can be obtained in the M-step (provided that the posterior expectations of the latent variables have been computed in the E-step) for most probabilistic models.

(5 marks, AE)

COURSE: EIE6207

YEAR: 6

SUBJECT: Theoretical Fundamental and Engineering Approaches for Intelligent Signal and Information Processing

	SUBJECT EXAMINER	INTERNAL MODERATOR / ASSESSOR	EXTERNAL EXAMINER	
	M.W. Mak			

2. (a) (i) Let  $\Phi = [\phi_1 \ \phi_2 \ \cdots \ \phi_M]$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is the global mean of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $M \leq D$ . We enforce  $\phi_d^\top \phi_d = 1$ ,  $d = 1, \dots, M$ , by using a set of Lagrange multipliers  $\{\lambda_d\}_{d=1}^M$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\Phi, \{\mathbf{h}_i\}) &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i - \Phi \mathbf{h}_i)^\top (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i - \Phi \mathbf{h}_i) + \sum_{d=1}^M \lambda_d (\phi_d^\top \phi_d - 1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i - \Phi \mathbf{h}_i)^\top (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i - \Phi \mathbf{h}_i) + \text{tr}\{\Phi \Lambda_M \Phi^\top - \Lambda\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i - 2\mathbf{h}_i^\top \Phi^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i + \mathbf{h}_i^\top \mathbf{h}_i + \text{tr}\{\Phi \Lambda_M \Phi^\top - \Lambda\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{h}_i \in \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M, 0, \dots, 0\} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ ,  $\Lambda_M = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M\} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$ , and  $\Phi = [\phi_1 \ \phi_2 \ \cdots \ \phi_M] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times M}$ .

(8 marks, AE)

Setting  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{h}_i} = \mathbf{0}$ , we obtain:

$$\sum_i \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \hat{\mathbf{h}}_i^\top = \hat{\Phi} \Lambda_M \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\Phi}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i = \hat{\mathbf{h}}_i \implies \hat{\mathbf{h}}_i^\top = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^\top \hat{\Phi}$$

where we have used:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \text{tr}\{\mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{X}^\top\} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}^\top + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{b}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{b} \mathbf{a}^\top.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_i \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^\top \hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi} \Lambda_M \implies \mathbf{S} \hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi} \Lambda_M$$

So,  $\hat{\Phi}$  comprises the  $M$  eigenvectors of

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^\top = \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top.$$

(7 marks, KA)

- (ii) This is because computing the covariance matrix  $\sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top$  and their eigenvectors are very expensive when  $D$  becomes very large.

(5 marks, K)

COURSE: EIE6207 \_\_\_\_\_ YEAR: 6  
 SUBJECT: Theoretical Fundamental and Engineering Approaches for Intelligent Signal and Information Processing

	SUBJECT EXAMINER	INTERNAL MODERATOR / ASSESSOR	EXTERNAL EXAMINER	
	M.W. Mak			

(b) (i)  $K = 4$

(3 marks, K)

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{kj}^{(2)}} &= \frac{\partial E}{\partial a_k^{(2)}} \frac{\partial a_k^{(2)}}{\partial w_{kj}^{(2)}} \\
 &= \frac{\partial E}{\partial o_k^{(2)}} \frac{\partial o_k^{(2)}}{\partial a_k^{(2)}} o_j^{(1)} \\
 &= \left(o_k^{(2)} - t_k\right) \frac{\partial h(a_k^{(2)})}{\partial a_k^{(2)}} o_j^{(1)} \\
 &= \left(o_k^{(2)} - t_k\right) h'(a_k^{(2)}) o_j^{(1)} \\
 &= \left(o_k^{(2)} - t_k\right) h(a_k^{(2)}) \left(1 - h(a_k^{(2)})\right) o_j^{(1)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $h'(z) = h(z)(1 - h(z))$ .

(7 marks, A)

(iii)

$$w_{kj}^{(2)} \leftarrow w_{kj}^{(2)} - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{kj}^{(2)}}$$

(5 marks, K)

(c) (i) We assign the following variables to the Kalman filter:

$\mathbf{x}_t \leftarrow x_t$  Unobserved voltage from the power supply  
 $\mathbf{F}_t \leftarrow 1$  No change in the target output voltage over time  
 $\mathbf{w}_t \leftarrow w_t$  Noise of output voltage with variance of  $\sigma_v^2$   
 $\mathbf{z}_t \leftarrow 1$  Measured voltage in Volt  
 $\mathbf{H}_t \leftarrow 1$  Voltmeter also gives Volt as output, same unit as  $v_t$   
 $\mathbf{v}_t \leftarrow v_t$  measurement noise with variance of  $\sigma_m^2$   
 $\mathbf{u}_t \leftarrow 0$  There is no control input  
 $\mathbf{R}_t \leftarrow \sigma_m^2$   
 $\mathbf{Q}_t \leftarrow \sigma_v^2$

---

COURSE: EIE6207 \_\_\_\_\_ YEAR: 6  
 SUBJECT: Theoretical Fundamental and Engineering Approaches for Intelligent Signal and Information Processing

	SUBJECT EXAMINER	INTERNAL MODERATOR / ASSESSOR	EXTERNAL EXAMINER	
	M.W. Mak			

---

So, the resulting Kalman filter becomes

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + w_t$$

$$z_t = x_t + v_t$$

where  $w_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_v^2)$  and  $v_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_m^2)$ . (10 marks, E)

- (ii) Yes, because the Kalman filter uses a recursive formula to update the state  $x_t$ , which essentially combines all of the measurements up to the current time step. Therefore, the longer we measures, the more measurements we have and  $x_t$  will become closer to the true output voltage when  $t$  increases. (5 marks, A)